_	.11 -	p.
1	Gregg A. Farley (State Bar No. 1155)	
2	LAW OFFICES OF GREGG A. FAF 880 Apollo Street, Suite 222	RLEY OBIGINAL FILED
3	El Segundo, California 90245	JUL 2 4 2018
4	Telephone: (310) 445-4024 Facsimile: (310) 445-4109	
		Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Aldwin Lim, Deputy
. 5	Sahag Majarian II (State Bar No. 146 LAW OFFICES OF SAHAG MAJAI	6621)
6	18250 Ventura Blvd.	RIAN, II
7	Tarzana, California 91356 Telephone: (818) 609-0807	
8		•
9		
10	of himself and all others similarly	rtinez, appearing on behalf uated
11	SUPERIOR	R COURT OF CALIFORNIA
12	FOR THE C	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
13	JOSE NIETO MARTINEZ, an) Case No.: BC645562
14	individual, appearing on behalf of himself and all others similarly) [Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. William
15	situated,) F. Highberger]
16	Plaintiff,) PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING FINAL
17	Vs.	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT
18	ROGERS POULTRY CO., a) Date: July 24, 2018
19	California corporation; and DOES 1-25,) Time: 11:00 a.m.
20	5) Dept: 10
21	Defendants.) Filing Date: January 4, 2017) Trial Date: None Set
22)
23		
24		
25		Marke Superior Court
26		I CHA
27		
28		

ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT - 1

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

this Lawsuit, and good cause appearing, set forth in the Stipulation filed in this Lawsuit.

This matter came on for hearing upon Plaintiff's unopposed motion for approval of the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation for Class Action Settlement (the "Stipulation"). Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class, and the Court having considered the Stipulation, all papers filed and proceedings had herein and all oral and written comments received regarding the proposed settlement, and having reviewed the record in

THE COURT HEREBY MAKES AND ENTERS JUDGMENT AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court, for purposes of this Order and Judgment, adopts all defined terms as
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Lawsuit, the Class Representative, other Participating Class Members and Defendant.
- 3. The Court finds that the dissemination of the Notice of Class Action Settlement as provided for in the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances to all persons within the definition of the Class, and fully met the requirements of California law and due process under the United States Constitution. Based on evidence and other material submitted in conjunction with the Final Approval Hearing, the actual notice to the Class was adequate.
 - 4. The Court finds in favor of settlement approval.
- 5. The Court approves of the Settlement, as set forth in the Stipulation, as fair, just, reasonable and adequate as to the Class. The Settling Parties are hereby ordered to implement and carry out the Settlement in accordance with the terms set forth in the Stipulation.
 - 6. All of the Released Claims are hereby released upon the Effective Date of the

Settlement.

7. Except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation, the Parties are to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

- 8. Solely for purposes of effectuating the Settlement, this Court has certified a class consisting of all Participating Class Members of the Class, as those terms are defined in the Stipulation, and the Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California Rules of Court 3.765(a) and 3.771.
- 9. With respect to the Class and for purposes of approving the Settlement only and for no other purpose, this Court finds and concludes that: (a) the Participating Class Members are ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the Class, and there is a well-defined community of interest among Participating Class Members with respect to the subject matter of the Lawsuit; (c) the claims of the Class Representative are typical of the claims of the Participating Class Members; (d) the Class Representative has fairly and adequately protected the interests of the Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) the counsel of record for the Class Representative, *i.e.*, Class Counsel, are qualified to serve as counsel for the Class.
- 10. The Court approves the Individual Class Member Payments to Participating Class Members, as set forth in the Stipulation. All such payments shall be made not later than twenty (20) calendar days after the Effective Date of the Settlement in accordance with the formulas set forth in the Stipulation.
- 11. Defendant shall pay (a) attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$339,898.13, consisting of \$316,666.66 in fees and \$23,231.47 in litigation costs and expenses; (b) an Enhancement Award to the Class Representative, Jose

Nieto Martinez, in the amount of \$15,000.00 to compensate him for his services to the Class; and (c) the amount of \$13,000.00 to the Claims Administrator, CPT Group, Inc., for its fees and costs relating to the settlement administration process. The Court finds that these amounts are fair and reasonable. Defendant is directed to make such payments in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation.

- 12. The Court reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the Lawsuit, the Class Representative, the Class and Defendant for the purposes of supervising the implementation, enforcement, construction, administration and interpretation of the Stipulation and this Judgment.
- 13. Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date of the Settlement, the Claims Administrator is hereby ordered to give notice of this Judgment to the Class by posting or publishing a true and correct copy of the Judgment on the settlement website established and maintained by the Claims Administrator.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/24__, 2018

WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER, JUDGE

Hon. William F. Highberger, Judge of the Superior Court